




-  - the text features **results of research of international significance**, which are within the profile of the journal,
-  - the text features **outstanding results of research of national significance**, which are within the profile of the journal,
-  - the text features **results of research of national significance**, which are within the profile of the journal.







ARTICLE TITLE:

.....

Article number

A. REVIEWER’S GENERAL RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING THE TEXT’S ORIGINALITY, SIGNIFICANCE, AND METHODOLOGICAL MERIT

Please choose only one recommendation from the list below by marking “x” in the relevant field on the right-hand side of the table.

Recommendation		Choice
	Text accepted for print because it features outstanding results of research of international significance , which are within the profile of the journal	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Text accepted for print because it features results of research of international significance , which are within the profile of the journal	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Text accepted for print because it features outstanding results of research of national significance , which are within the profile of the journal	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Text accepted for print because it features results of research of national significance , which are within the profile of the journal	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Text not accepted for print, it is necessary to make major improvements to it and, possibly, to resubmit it for review	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Text rejected	<input type="checkbox"/>

B. DETAILED REMARKS

		YES	NO
TITLE	Is the title consistent with the problems discussed in the text?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ABSTRACT	Does the abstract comply with the editorial requirements, i.e. does it include the elements specified in the instructions for authors?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
INTRODUCTION	Are the research objectives and hypotheses clearly defined in the introduction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are they original?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
APPLIED RESEARCH TECHNIQUES AND METHODS	Do the applied research techniques and methods comply with the requirements of the methodology of social sciences (including legal sciences)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are there any ethical reservations regarding the content or the research methods applied in the conducted research?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are the drawings, charts, and tables (if any) clear and legible?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Have the sources of law and the quoted views of legal academics/scholars and commentators relevant to the topic of the text been included to the necessary extent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are the featured keywords relevant?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
LANGUAGE AND STYLE	Can the linguistic quality and the style of the text be considered very good?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	If the answer is NO, please provide a brief justification:		

C. JUSTIFICATION FOR ACCEPTANCE/REJECTION OF THE TEXT

Justification for acceptance of the text for print (a brief written explanation is **obligatory** here).

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Suggestions for the Author if their text is rejected and requires improvements are **non-obligatory**

.....
.....
.....
.....

Justification for rejection of the text (a brief written explanation is **obligatory** here, regardless of the justification provided in part B)

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....